1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
2	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com Teuta Fani (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
3	Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097) sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com	teuta fani@quinnemanuel.com	
4	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700	
4	Redwood Shores, CA 94065	Chicago, IL 60606	
5	Telephone: (650) 801-5000	Telephone: (312) 705-7400	
6	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401	
7	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)	
	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com	
8	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)	
9	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
	Marie Hayrapetian (CA Bar No. 315797) mariehayrapetian@quinnemanuel.com	carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com	
10	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	1300 I Street NW, Suite 900	
11	Los Angeles, CA 90017	Washington D.C., 20005	
11	Telephone: (213) 443-3000	Telephone: (202) 538-8000	
12	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	Facsimile: (202) 538-8100	
13	Jomaire Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)	
1.4	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com	
14	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	50 California Street, 22nd Floor	
15	New York, NY 10010	San Francisco, CA 94111	
	Telephone: (212) 849-7000	Telephone: (415) 875-6600	
16	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
17	Counsel for Defendant Google LLC		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION		
20	CHACOM DROWN, WILLIAM DWATT	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK	
21	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER	GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE	
22	CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, individually and on behalf of all similarly	MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE	
23	situated,	MOTION UPDATING THE COURT ON	
24	Plaintiffs,	ITS PRESERVATION IMPLEMENTATION EFFORTS AND	
25	v.	SEEKING RELIEF FROM THE JULY 30 DEADLINE IMPOSED BY THE JULY 15, 2022 PRESERVATION ORDER (DKT. 630)	
26	GOOGLE LLC,		
27	Defendant.	Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ	
		<u> </u>	
28		Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVI	

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

T. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendant Google LLC ("Google") respectfully seeks to seal the following portions of Google LLC's Administrative Motion Updating the Court on its Preservation Implementation Efforts and Seeking Relief from the July 30 Deadline Imposed by the July 15, 2022 Preservation Order (Dkt. 630) ("Motion"), which contain Google's confidential and proprietary information, including details related to Google's internal projects, data signals, and logs, and their proprietary functionalities. This information is highly confidential and should be protected.

This Administrative Motion pertains to the following information contained in the Motion:

Documents Sought to Be Sealed	Portions to be Filed Under Seal	Party Claiming Confidentiality
Google LLC's	Portions Highlighted at:	Google
Administrative Motion		
Updating the Court on its	Pages 1:16-24, 2:2-7, 2:10-19	
Preservation		
Implementation Efforts and		
Seeking Relief from the		
July 30 Deadline Imposed		
by the July 15, 2022		
Preservation Order (Dkt.		
630)		
Declaration of Benjamin	Portions Highlighted at:	Google
Kornacki ISO Google		
LLC's Administrative	Pages 1:22, 1:26-27, 2:1-6, 2:8,	
Motion	2:10-24, 3:6-12, 3:15, 3:17	

II. LEGAL STANDARD

The common law right of public access to judicial records in a civil case is not a constitutional right and it is "not absolute." Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (noting that the "right to inspect and copy judicial records is not absolute" and that "courts have refused to permit their files to serve as reservoirs of . . . sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing"). Sealing is appropriate when the information at issue constitutes "competitively sensitive information," such as "confidential research, development, or commercial information." France Telecom S.A. v. Marvell Semiconductor Inc., 2014 WL 4965995, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014); see also Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (acknowledging courts' "broad latitude" to "prevent disclosure of materials for many types of

1

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13 14

15 16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information").

III. THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED MATERIALS SHOULD ALL BE SEALED

Courts have repeatedly found it appropriate to seal documents that contain medical information or "business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing." *Nixon*, 435 U.S. at 589-99; *see also Turner v. United States*, 2019 WL 4732143, at *9 (finding good cause to seal "confidential medical information"). Good cause to seal is shown when a party seeks to seal materials that "contain[] confidential information about the operation of [the party's] products and that public disclosure could harm [the party] by disclosing confidential technical information." *Digital Reg. of Texas, LLC v. Adobe Sys., Inc.*, 2014 WL 6986068, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2014). Materials that could harm a litigant's competitive standing may be sealed even under the "compelling reasons" standard. *See e.g., Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc.*, 2015 WL 984121, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2015) (information "is appropriately sealable under the 'compelling reasons' standard where that information could be used to the company's competitive disadvantage") (citation omitted).

Here, the Motion comprises confidential information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly. Specifically, this information provides details related to Google's internal projects, data signals, and logs, and their proprietary functionalities. Such information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services while complying with legal and privacy obligations.

Public disclosure of the above-listed information would harm Google's competitive standing it has earned through years of innovation and careful deliberation, by revealing sensitive aspects of Google's proprietary systems, strategies, designs, and practices to Google's competitors. That alone is a proper basis to seal such information. *See, e.g., Free Range Content, Inc. v. Google Inc.*, No. 14-cv-02329-BLF, Dkt. No. 192, at 3-9 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2017) (granting Google's motion to seal certain sensitive business information related to Google's processes and policies to ensure the integrity and security of a different advertising system); *Huawei Techs., Co. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, No. 3:16-cv-

2 3 4

1

6

5

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

02787-WHO, Dkt. No. 446, at 19 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 2019) (sealing confidential sales data because "disclosure would harm their competitive standing by giving competitors insight they do not have"); Trotsky v. Travelers Indem. Co., 2013 WL 12116153, at *8 (W.D. Wash. May 8, 2013) (granting motion to seal as to "internal research results that disclose statistical coding that is not publically available").

Moreover, if publicly disclosed, malicious actors may use such information to seek to compromise Google's internal systems and data structures. Google would be placed at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, and data related to its users could similarly be at risk. See, e.g., In re Google Inc. Gmail Litig., 2013 WL 5366963, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2013) (sealing "material" concern[ing] how users' interactions with the Gmail system affects how messages are transmitted" because if made public, it "could lead to a breach in the security of the Gmail system"). The security threat is an additional reason for this Court to seal the identified information.

The information Google seeks to redact is the minimal amount of information needed to protect its internal systems and operations from being exposed to not only its competitors but also to nefarious actors who may improperly seek access to and disrupt these systems and operations. The "good cause" rather than the "compelling reasons" standard should apply but under either standard, Google's sealing request is warranted.

IV. **CONCLUSION**

DATED: July 27, 2022

For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court seal the identified portions of the Motion.

> QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

/s/ Andrew H. Schapiro Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)

andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

1	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
2	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)
3	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com
3	Marie Hayrapetian (CA Bar No. 315797)
4	mariehayrapetian@quinnemanuel.com
_	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
5	Los Angeles, CA 90017
6	Telephone: (213) 443-3000
	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
7	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)
8	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
9	Redwood Shores, CA 94065
10	Telephone: (650) 801-5000
	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100
11	Josef Ansorge (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
12	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com
12	1300 I. Street, N.W., Suite 900
13	Washington, D.C. 20005
	Telephone: 202-538-8000 Facsimile: 202-538-8100
14	Facsinine. 202-338-8100
15	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted pro hac vice)
	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
16	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010
17	Telephone: (212) 849-7000
1/	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100
18	
10	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)
19	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
20	50 California Street, 22nd Floor
_	San Francisco, CA 94111
21	Telephone: (415) 875-6600
22	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR